



PTO/SB/21 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Application Number 09/960,528 Filing Date **TRANSMITTAL** September 24, 2001 **FORM** First Named Inventor Hiroshi SUMIYAMA Art Unit 2622 (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) **Examiner Name** M.J. CARBONELLO Attorney Docket Number 5 325772026900 Total Number of Pages in This Submission

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)				
Fee Transi	mittal Form	Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to TC
Fee	Attached	Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
x Amendment/Reply		Petition		Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
After	Final	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application	,	Proprietary Information
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Add	dress	Status Letter
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer		X Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund		Return Receipt Postcard
Information Disclosure Statement		CD, Number of CD(s)		
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Landscape Table on CD		
Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application		Remarks		
Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53				1
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT				
Firm Name MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP				
Signature	all			
Printed name	Adam Keser			
Date	October 27, 2005		eg. No.	54,217



Docket No. 325772026900 (PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: Hiroshi SUMIYAMA et al.

Application No. 09/960,528

Confirmation No. 5299

Filed: September 24, 2001

Art Unit: 2622

For: IMAGE PROCESSING APPARATUS AND

IMAGE FORMING SYSTEM

Examiner: Michael J. Carbonello

RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.111

MS Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Action dated July 29, 2005, please consider the following remarks.

REMARKS

The Examiner has objected to the drawings based upon applicants' choice of reference numerals and has suggested that applicants amend the drawings to use sequential reference numerals starting at the number one (1). Applicants respectfully choose to maintain the Figures as originally filed. Applicants note that there is no requirement that reference numerals be sequential. Applicants' Figures comply with the requirements laid out in MPEP 608.02(IV)(p).

The Examiner has objected to the specification for not providing line reference numbers.

Applicants note that the paragraphs of the specification have been sequentially numbered in compliance with MPEP 608.01(I)(b)(6) and that applicants are not required to provide line numbers